

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum


Faculty Name: Prof. P. S. Kadamb	Department: DS
Course Name: EMI	Course code: CSC301
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: III

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			✓		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: 

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

| Learn Live Achieve and Contribute |

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Prof. Mitrajoshi Patil</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>DSAT</u>	Course code: <u>CSC-302</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>III</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____


 Signature of Faculty: _____

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Ms. Snehal Lohi Bode</u>	Department: <u>CSE-(DS)</u>
Course Name: <u>Data Structure</u>	Course code: <u>CSC303</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>III</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: _____

Snehal Lohi
26/08/22



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <i>Dr. Sheetal Bukkavar</i>	Department: <i>CS-DS</i>
Course Name: <i>Digital logic & Computer Architecture</i>	Course code: <i>CSC 304</i>
Academic Year: <i>2022-23</i>	SEM: <i>III</i>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: _____

SB
1/11/22



Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <i>Prof. Anita Raman</i>	Department: <i>CSE - Data Science</i>
Course Name: <i>Computer Graphics</i>	Course code: <i>CSC 305</i>
Academic Year: <i>2022-23</i>	SEM: <i>IIIrd</i>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Anita
Signature of Faculty: *26/8*

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

[Learn Live Achieve and Contribute]

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Ashwini Ghade</u>	Department: <u>Data Science</u>
Course Name: <u>DLCA</u>	Course code: <u>CSL302</u>
Academic Year: 2021-22 <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>III</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			✓		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			✓		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.			✓		
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: Ashwini Ghade



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <i>Chitra Chitlers</i>	Department: <i>CSE-DS</i>
Course Name: <i>Computer graphics lab</i>	Course code: <i>CSL303</i>
Academic Year: <i>2022-23</i>	SEM: <i>III</i>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: *Chitra*

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Diksha, A. Bombe.</u>	Department: <u>CSE-Ds</u>
Course Name: <u>Skill base Lab course: Object Oriented Programming with Java.</u>	Course code: <u>CSL304</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>3</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: _____

D. Bombe
26/8/2022



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>prof-Vaibhav Dhage</u>	Department: <u>CSE-Data science</u>
Course Name: <u>Web Computing & H/W lab</u>	Course code: <u>CSL501</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>V</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: [Signature]

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum


Faculty Name: <u>Ashwina Goyal</u>	Department: <u>CSE-D/S</u>
Course Name: <u>Artificial Intelligence Lab</u>	Course code: <u>CS1502</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>V</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.			✓		
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓	✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: 
29/8/22

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Shradha Subhedar</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS.</u>
Course Name: <u>Artificial Intelligence</u>	Course code: <u>CSC503</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>V</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			✓		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			✓		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: As this course is in sem V & difficulty level is more, assigned hours in syllabus need to be more in some topics.

Signature of Faculty: [Signature]

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof Snehal Cavale	Department: CSE in data science
Course Name: Data warehousing and mining	Course code: CSC 504
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: V

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: Cavale.



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Shital Ajagekar</u>	Department: <u>Data science</u>
Course Name: <u>Statistics for Artificial Intelligence Data science</u>	Course code: <u>CSDLO5011</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>✓</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			✓		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students			✓		
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.			✓		
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: More case studies should be included.

Signature of Faculty: Ajagekar



Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Ashwini Ghade</u>	Department: <u>Data Science</u>
Course Name: <u>IOT</u>	Course code: <u>CS DL05013</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>V</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.				✓	
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced			✓		
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			✓		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students					✓
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.					✓
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			✓		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.				✓	
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: Practicals Sessions should be included in the syllabus

Signature of Faculty:

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>prof. snehal Gavale</u>	Department: <u>CSE in Data science</u>
Course Name: <u>Data warehousing & mining Lab</u>	Course code: <u>CSLS03</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>V</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: Gavale S.



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Prof. POONAM PANDEY</u>	Department: <u>EEG - EEG DS</u>
Course Name: <u>BCE.</u>	Course code: <u>CSL-504</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23.</u>	SEM: <u>V.</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			✓		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.			✓		
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			✓		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: _____

Poonam Pandey
8/11/22.



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Dnyaneshwar Kadam</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>Engineering Mathematics - IV</u>	Course code: <u>CSC-401</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>IV</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: Dnyaneshwar Kadam

SE

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Dhanshree Kane	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: Analysis of Algorithm	Course code: CSC402
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: Even

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: Some topic should be update from module 6.

Signature of Faculty:

D. Kane



SARASWATI Education Society's SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Komal Sharma</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>DBMS</u>	Course code: <u>CSC403</u>
Academic Year: <u>22-23</u>	SEM: <u>IV</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.
(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty:

Sharma



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering SE.

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <i>Amite Raman</i>	Department: <i>CSE-DS</i>
Course Name: <i>O.S.</i>	Course code: <i>CSC 404</i>
Academic Year: <i>2022-23</i>	SEM: <i>IV</i>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.			✓		
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: *Amite Raman*

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Rashmi Sraatke</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>Microprocessor</u>	Course code: <u>ESC405</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>IV</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			✓		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____


Signature of Faculty: _____



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Sheetal Ajagekar</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>DAV</u>	Course code: <u>CSC601</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>VI</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty

Sheetal

TE



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Vaibhav Dhage	Department: CSE DS
Course Name: CSS	Course code: CSC602
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: ODD Sem

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: No suggestion

Signature of Faculty:

Vaibhav Dhage



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering -

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

TE

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>prof. snehal Cavale</u>	Department: <u>Data science</u>
Course Name: <u>Software eng. project management (SEPM)</u>	Course code: <u>CSC603</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>VI</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.			✓		
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.				✓	
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: Need balance between theory and lab

Signature of Faculty: Cavale

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

TE

Faculty Name: <u>Varsha Nawale</u>	Department: <u>CSE - DS</u>
Course Name: <u>Machine Learning</u>	Course code: <u>CSC 604</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>VI</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	X	✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	X	✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.			✓		
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: Varsha Nawale

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Maya Bangar</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>DC</u>	Course code: <u>CSDL060/2</u>
Academic Year: <u>2022-23</u>	SEM: <u>VI</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.			✓		
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty:

Maya