

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. p.S. Kadam.	Department: D \(\)
Course Name: CMI	Course code: CSC301
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: TI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating					
			4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	_	-				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/	4.				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~	1			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		1				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			-			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	_					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	_					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	-	-				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	٧	-				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	1					

Any other suggestion:		
10.00 Sec. 1		

SARASWATI College of Engineering



| Learn Live Achieve and Contribute | | Kharghar, Navi Mumbal - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Midrakow Postit	Department: CSE -DS'
Course Name: DSG T	Course code: CSC -302
Academic Year: 2022 - 23	SEM: TIT

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

Sr. No.	No. Particular's	Rating					
			4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	/					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		/				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	~					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students						
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.						
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	V					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		~				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course						

Any other suggestion:	
NB	
Signature of Faculty:	

SARASWATI College of Engineering



Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Mrs. Snehal Lohi Bode	Department: CSE-(DS)
Course Name: Data Structure	Course code: CSC 303
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: III'

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating		
			4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		~			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	1				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	V		- 1		
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	V				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	V	1			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		/			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		/			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		~			-
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	/	6			-

Any other suggestion:		
Signature of Faculty:	1 Mili	

Signature of Faculty. Suchalica.



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Dr. Sheefal Bukkawas	Department: CS-DS
Course Name: Digital logical Computer	Course code: CSC 3 04
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM:

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating					
			4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		/				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	V					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		V		Tr.		
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		V				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		V				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	/					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	V					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		/				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	1					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	V					

Any other suggestion:		
Gionature of Faculty:	XII	



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Kharghar, Navi Mumbal - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Prof. Anita Raman	Department: CSE - Data Science
	Computer Graphics	
Academic Year:	COLO CONTRA DE DESCRIPCIÓN DE LA COLOR DE	SEM: TITY

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

Sr. No. Particular's			1	Rating		
			4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		ا			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~				×
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	~				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	~				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	~				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		レ			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	~				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		L			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	/				

Any other suggestion:	
Luita	
Signature of Faculty: 26 8	

SARASWATI College of Engineering

| Learn Live Achieve and Contribute | | Kharghar, Navi Mumbal - 410 210,

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Asherini Gharde	Department: Data Science
Course Name:	DICA	Course code: CSL302
Academie Year:	2022-23	

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		é				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.					li .	
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		1				
-1	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		/				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			/			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students			120			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	/					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		0.7	1			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.			1			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		V	1		+	

Any other suggestion:	
- (Anardi	
Signature of Faculty:	



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

	Department: CSE - DS
Course Name: Computer graphics lab.	Course code: CSL 303
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: III ,
Actide inc Tear, 200-	## 1922 2000 E. A. C.


Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

	Particular's		I	Rating	2			
Sr. No.	Tarteum 5	5	4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	V						
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	V			5-			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/						
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		~					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		/					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	/						
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	V	•					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.							
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	~			91			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	V						

Any other suggestion:	
STANDARD COMMISSION CONTRACTOR CO	
01/	

SARASWATI College of Engineering



Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

14444	Department: CSECDS
Course Name: Stell base Lab Course: Object Oriented Programming with Jan	Course code: CSL304
Academic Year: 2022 - 23	SEM: 3

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No. Particular's				Rating		
			4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	V				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	V				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		~			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	~				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	~		-		
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	\ \ \				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course					

Any other suggestion:	
Signature of Faculty	

18 2022



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210,

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: prof-Vaibhav Dhage	Department: CSE- Pata Science
Course Name: Web Computing 4 HIM lab	Course code: CSL501
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: V

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

	Particular's		F	Rating		
Sr. No.	Particular 3	5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	<u> </u>				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	/				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	1	~			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		~			
7 .	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	/	1			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~	1		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	~	1			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	~	/			

State Tourist III III particular course	
Any other suggestion:	
Signature of Faculty: 1	

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Ashinga Goyal	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: Artilicial Intelligence Lab	Course code: CSI 502
1 1	SEM: V

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

Sr. No.	Sr. No. Particular's			Rating		
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	/				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		-			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students					
7 .	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.				-	
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	λ.				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		_			

Any other suggestion:	
AM -	
Signature of Faculty:	

SARASWATI College of Engineering



Kharghar, Navi Mumbal - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Shradolha Subhedar	Department: CSE-DS.
Course Name: Artificial Intelligence	Course code: C3C503
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: V

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating					
atsat ateat ecanoa.	W/W/2459M-0020M-07	5	4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	÷	V					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	V						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	~	FI					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	V	ie d					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	240		V				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		V					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		V					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			V				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	V						
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	V						

Any other suggestion: A	3 this cou	rse is in	sem V &	
difficulty so	wel is mor	e, assigned	hoursain	syllabus
Signature of Faculty:	Experience -	need t	o be more	in some
	2	Jopic	3.	

SARASWATI College of Engineering



Kharghar, Navi Mumbal - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof	snendl					datastienzo
Course Name: Detter	warehousji	ng and minin	Course code:	CSC	504	
Academic Year: 202			SEM: 🄽			

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

C. N.	Particular's		Rating					
Sr. No.	A MANAGEMENT	5	4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~						
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	V						
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	~						
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		~					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	~						
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.							
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	V						
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		~					

Any other suggestion:		
	la.	

Signature of Faculty: Garalex.



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbal - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Skital Afagelear	Department: Data science
Course Name: Statistics for Astificial Course Name: The Higence Data science	Course code: CSDLOSO 11
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: ✓

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating			
STEELING TO STORE IN	7.27		4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	V				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		V			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	V				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		1			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			/		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students			~		
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		/			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		*	V		
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	~				

Any other suggestion: More	case	studies	shoud
be included.			



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum


Faculty Name:	Ashweire Charde	Department:	Data	Science
Course Name:	TOT	Course code:	CSDL	0503
Academic Year:	2022-23	SEM:	V	

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating					
Sr. No.			4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.				~	•	
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		~				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		/				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced			~			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.						
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students					1	
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.				421	1	
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			/			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.			_	~	1	
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		/				

Any other suggestion: _	Practicals	Session	28	Should	d be
	include	ed in	the	Sy	allabus
				(





Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: prof- snewow Garale	Department: CSE in Data science
Come Name Data wavehousing 4 mining	Course code: (SLSO3
Academic Year: 2011-23	SEM: \(\sum_{}\)

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

C N	Particular's		F	Rating		
Sr. No.	A MI MOMINI	5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	∠				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	レ				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	レ				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		レ			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	-				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	1				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	~				

Any other suggestion:	

Signature of Faculty: Gavalers.



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prol- POONEM PANDEY	Department: KSG-ATTOS
Course Name: BC E.	Course code: CSL-504
Academic Year: 2022-23-	SEM: V

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating			
SECTIO:	CHATSHIBA CHOPOLO		4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	5	V			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		V			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		V			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		/	*		
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			$\sqrt{}$		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		\checkmark			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.			V		
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		,	/		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		V			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course					

Any other suggestion: _			
	_	V/	
		and in	
Cianature of Faculty:	1/1		



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Dnyaneshwar	ladam		CSE-DS
Course Name:	Engineering Ma	thematics-II	Course code:	CSC-401
	2022-23		SEM: TV	

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Sr. No. Particular's]	Rating		
2111111			4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		~			-
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	/				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	1 0				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	~				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		V.	ti et		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		~			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	/				

Any other suggestion:	
INFORMATION NAME OF THE PARTY.	



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: 1	han shrec	kane	. Department:	(SE-DS.
Course Name:	Analysis of	Algorithm	Course code:	CSC402
	2022-23		SEM: EV	en.

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	ain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree) Particular's					
31.140.		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	~				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	~				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		V			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		L			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		-			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		~			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		-			

Any other suggestion: Some topic should be update from





SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbal - 410 210.

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Komal Sharma	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: DBMS -	Course code: CSC403.
Academic Year: 22-23	SEM: TV

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.

(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating					
31.140.			4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	11					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	/					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.						
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	V			8		
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.						
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	~					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	~					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	V					

Any other suggestion:	



SARASWATI College of Engineering SE.

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410.210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Amila Raman	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name:		Course code: CSC 404
Academic Year:	2022-23	SEM: TV

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Sr. No. Particular's		Rating					
			4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~						
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		~			В.		
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		~					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		~					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.							
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		V					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		V					

Any other suggestion:	
10	
Signature of Faculty, with	

SARASVVA - Education Society's



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar Navi Mumhai 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Reshmi Szeetken	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: Microprocesson	Course code: CS C 405
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: IV

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating					
			4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		~					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	~						
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		~					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	1						
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	~						
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~		4			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			~	_			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	/			-			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		V					

Any other suggestion:	
// \	
128	



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Shootal AJage Kay	Department: CSE - D S
Course Name: DAV	Course code: CSC601
Academic Year: 2022 - 23	SEM: VI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating					
			4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.							
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		1					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	/						
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		/					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students							
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	/	1					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	/	144					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	/						
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	/						

Any other suggestion:	





SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Vaibhar	Dhage	Department: C	SE DS
Course Name:	12.5 7 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7 5	J	Course code:	SC602
Academic Year	CB/R/B/OD	2022-23	SEM: ODD	Sem

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	No. Particular's		Rating					
			4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~						
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	~						
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced							
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	~						
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		V					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		~					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		~					

Any other suggestion: 00	Suga	eshin'
	J	





SARASWATI College of Engineering -

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar: Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

TE

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: prof. snewed Garde	Department: Data science
Course Name: Sobtware eng. project manage Academic Year: 2022-23 (SEPM)	-Course code: (SC 603
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: VI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating					
		5	4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	į.	~					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		V		8			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.			4				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		~					
5 -	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		~	3.4				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		V		∞ _			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.				V			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		1					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		-			50		
1.0	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course							

Any other suggestion: Need	bounue	between	thema
and lab			incorp

Signature of Faculty: (avalen



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

TE

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Varsha Nawale	Department: CSE - DS
Course Name: Machine Learning	Course code: C3C 604
Academic Year: 2022 - 23	SEM: VI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating					
			4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	×	V				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~	~				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	V					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	~					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		V				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	V					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	~					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	~					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.			V			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		~				

Any other suggestion:		
CT 1		

- = ✓MTI Education Society's



College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Maya Banga	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: DC	Course code: CSDL06012
Academic Year: 2022-23	SEM: VI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating					
	2		4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		/				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/	a				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	/					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		/				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students				4		
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	25	/				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	la la	~				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.			/			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		/				

Any other suggestion:	<u> </u>		