

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Khareghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Shubhangi Kadam	Department: FE
Course Name: Eng. Mathematics-IV	Course code: CS401
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: IV

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty:

Shubhangi Kadam

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Diksha A. Bombar</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>AOA</u>	Course code: <u>CSC402</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>IV</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: Need some changes in syllabus

Signature of Faculty:

Diksha A. Bombar

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharohar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Prof. Nela Mahajan</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS.</u>
Course Name: <u>DBMS.</u>	Course code: <u>CSC-403</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24 (Even Sem)</u>	SEM: <u>IV</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty:

Nela
15/4/24.

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering



Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Khadkehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Sonali Rantake</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>Operating System</u>	Course code: <u>CSC-404</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>IVth</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: No suggestion

Signature of Faculty:

Sonali Rantake



RASVATI Education Society's
RASVATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharohar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Prof. Saarita Kale</u>	Department: <u>DS</u>
Course Name: <u>MP</u>	Course code: <u>C3C405</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>IV</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.			✓		
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course			✓		

Any other suggestion: Module - 3 is very vast No. of lectures are less allocated.

Signature of Faculty: [Signature]

SARASWATI Education Society
SARASWATI College of Engineering



Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharoliar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Gayatri Dharap	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: Python Programming	Course code: CSL405
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: IV

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced			✓		
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			✓		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty
Gayatri Dharap

The Society for Education Society's
SRM JNTU College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharakhari, New Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Pintu Sharma</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>Honors - Digital Forensic</u>	Course code: <u>HCSC601</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-2024</u>	SEM: <u>VI</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Pintu Sharma
 Signature of Faculty:

PARASATI College of Engineering



Learn Live Achieve and Contribute
Kharadar, New Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Vandana Sharma	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: (DAV) CSC601	Course code: CSC601
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: VI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	5				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	5				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		4			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		4			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	5				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	5				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	5				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	5				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	5				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	-	4			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: Vandana Sharma



PARASWATI Education Group
PARASWATI College of Engineering & Technology

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharebhe Near Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Sonali Ramteke</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>Distributed Computing</u>	Course code: <u>CSDLO6012</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>Vth</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: No suggestion.

Signature of Faculty:

Sonali Ramteke

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Diksha. A. Bunde</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>CSS</u>	Course code: <u>CSC602</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>V1</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: Need to update syllabus

Signature of Faculty:



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharakhari, New Mumbai - 410 210


NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Prof. Savita Kale</u>	Department: <u>D5</u>
Course Name: <u>SEPM</u>	Course code: <u>CSC 603</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>VI</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students			✓		
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: Good

Signature of Faculty: 

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Gayatri Dharap	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: Machine Learning	Course code: CSC 604
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: VI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.			✓		
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced			✓		
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			✓		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty:
Gayatri

RASWATI Education Society's
RASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute
Kharvelkar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Vandana Sharma</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>(AAI) CSC801</u>	Course code: <u>CSC801</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>VIII</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	5				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		4			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	5				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		4			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		4			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	5				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	5				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	5				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	5	1			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		4			

Any other suggestion: _____

Vandana Sharma
Signature of Faculty

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharepat: Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Pintu Shalme</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>Honours - Application Security</u>	Course code: <u>HCSC801</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-2024</u>	SEM: <u>VIII</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: *Pintu Shalme*

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, New Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Jayshri Bank</u>	Department: <u>CSE-D1</u>
Course Name: <u>CSE-D1, SMTA</u>	Course code: <u>CSJLO 8023</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>VII</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		4			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		4			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		4			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	5				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		4			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	5				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.			3		
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		4			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	5				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		4			

Any other suggestion: In lab/practical they should mention tools to perform.

Signature of Faculty:

Bankar

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Prof. NISHA GAUR</u>	Department: <u>CSE-D.S</u>
Course Name: <u>AI for Financial Banking application</u>	Course code: <u>CS008011</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>VIII</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.			✓		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			✓		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course				✓	

Any other suggestion: Reference and text books, are really difficult to understand the concept. You AIFBA theory, subject needs extra understanding books.

Signature of Faculty:

Nisha Gaur
 15/04/24

WATANI Education Group
WATANI College of Engineering

Learn Live Advance and Contribute

Khobar, Doha/Jordan, 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Prof. Tarannum Shaikh</u>	Department: <u>DS.</u>
Course Name: <u>IL00-77 (CPM)</u>	Course code: <u>IL08021</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>VIII</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: None.

Signature of Faculty:


 (Shaikh-Tarannum)

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharvelkar Nani Maribai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <i>Dr. Snehalata Kelkar</i>	Department: <i>Department of Civil Engg</i>
Course Name: <i>Environmental Management</i>	Course code: <i>IL08029</i>
Academic Year: <i>2024</i>	SEM: <i>VIII</i>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: *Nil*

Signature of Faculty: *[Signature]*
(*Dr. Snehalata Kelkar*)

WASWA College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute
Khadker, New Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Dr. Vaibhav Dhage</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>DSGT</u>	Course code: <u>CSC-302</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>IIIrd Sem</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: Dr. Vaibhav Dhage

SE

WATJ College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharakhur, Near Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Diksha . A . Bombe</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>Data structure</u>	Course code: <u>CSC303</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>ODD sem.</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: No Suggestion

Signature of Faculty:



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

LEARN LIVE Achieve and Contribute

Kharebhe, New Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <i>Neha Mahajan</i>	Department: <i>CSE-DS</i>
Course Name: <i>DLCA</i>	Course code: <i>CSC304</i>
Academic Year: <i>23-24</i>	SEM: <i>III (Odd sem)</i>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: *Very vast syllabus for this subject.*

Signature of Faculty: *Neha*



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn, Live, Achieve and Contribute

Kharakhur, New Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Gayatri Dharap	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: computer Graphics	Course code: CSC305
Academic Year: 23-24	SEM: III

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course			✓		

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: *Gayatri Dharap*

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Komal Sharma	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: OOPM	Course code: CSL304
Academic Year: 2023-2024	SEM: III

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty:





Department of Education Studies
Pimpri Chinchwad Education Trust
PCTE College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharekar, New Mumbai - 410210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Umesh Pinjarkar	Department: CSE DS
Course Name: CN	Course code: CSC501
Academic Year: 2023-2024	SEM: V

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: Umesh

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Ragini Sharma</u>	Department: <u>CSE(CDS)</u>
Course Name: <u>Web Computing</u>	Course code: <u>CSC502</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>V</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.			✓		
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			✓		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: RSL

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Diksha . A. Bombe	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: Artificial Intelligence	Course code: CSC503
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: ODD SEM

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: NO Suggestion.

Signature of Faculty:

Diksha Bombe



College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute
Kharolai, New Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited
Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Jayshri Banekar	Department: CSE DS
Course Name: Data Warehousing & Mining	Course code: CSE 504
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: IV

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.			✓		
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty:

SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

TE

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharshed Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Abhishek Chauhan</u>	Department: <u>DS</u>
Course Name: <u>SAIDS</u>	Course code: <u>CS DLD5011</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023 - 2024</u>	SEM: <u>V</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		✓			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced				✓	
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			✓		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students			✓		
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.					✓
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		✓			

Any other suggestion: Syllabus should be rechecked, books prescribed should be change

Signature of Faculty:

Abhishek

ARUNATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharelgaon, Navi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Prof. Vaibhav Dhage</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>DL</u>	Course code: <u>CSC701</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>VII</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty:

VDP

BE



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, New Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: PROF. Jayshree Bankar	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: BDA	Course code: CSE02
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: VII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		✓			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	✓				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: _____

Bankar



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn · Live · Achieve and Contribute

Kharadiar, New Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Prof.

Faculty Name: <u>Vandana sharma</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>NLP</u>	Course code: <u>CSC7011</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>VII</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		✓			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		✓			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty:

V Sharma

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: <u>Vinod Zale</u>	Department: <u>CSE-DS</u>
Course Name: <u>CSL</u>	Course code: <u>ILO7016</u>
Academic Year: <u>2023-24</u>	SEM: <u>VII (Odd Sem)</u>

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	✓				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	✓				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	✓				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	✓				

Any other suggestion: _____

Signature of Faculty: Vinod



SARASWATI Education Society's
SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharvelkar Niwas Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Komal Shauma	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: Blockchain Technologies	Course code: CSDO7022
Academic Year: 2023-2024	SEM: SEM VII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		✓			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	✓				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	✓				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	✓				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		✓			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		✓			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	✓				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		✓			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course.	✓				

Any other suggestion:

There is a need for more books on advanced subjects that are easy to comprehend.

Signature of Faculty:

Bhanu