

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar Navi Mombai 410 2 0

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Shubhangi Kadam	Department: F €
Course Name: Eng. Mathematics- IV	Course code: C.S.401
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: TY

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating					
		5	4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	V						
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		V					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		V					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	V						
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	/						
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		/					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		V					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	V						
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		/					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	V						

Any other suggestion:	

(C)

duration Society's

All College of Engineering

Kharata Mass Manda 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Diksha A Bombs	Department: CS# - DS
Course Name: Ao A	Course code: CSC402
Academie Year: 2023-24	SEM: IV

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	. Particular's			Rating		
	a 11.1	5	4	3	2	- 1
I.	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		~			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		~			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		./			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	~				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	~				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		~			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	~				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		~			

Any other suggestion:	Need	Some	change	in sollaby
			0	- 1



Learn Live Achieve and Contribute Kharohar Navy Muroba: 410,710

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Nela 1 Course Name: DBMS.	Valazai	Department CSE-DS.
Course Name: DBMS.		Course code: CSC-403
Academic Year: 2023-24	(Even Sear)	SEM: TY

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	No. Particular's		Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	/					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.						
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	/					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		/				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	/					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		V				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	/					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	V					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	~					

ny other suggestion:		
CF- 1	100 5	

Signature of Faculty:

Nec 24

SARASVVI Inflination 5 ociety 8

ARASWATI College of Engineshing



Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharebar Navi Mumbar 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Sonali Ramteke	Department: CCE-DC
Course Name:	operating . System	Course code: CSC - 404
Academic Year	2023-24	SEM: 17 4h

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating						
		5	4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~						
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	سنب						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	L						
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		-					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		-					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		,					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	/						
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	1						
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		1					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	/						

Any other suggestion:	210	Suggestion	
		00	

RASVATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute | | Kharehar Navi Mumbar 410.710

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name Peof Sacita Kocke	Department: DS
Course Name: P1P .	Course code: C5 C 405
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: TV

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

(C)

Sr. No.	Particular's		1	Rating		
			4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		/			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		/			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		/	(÷		
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		V			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.			/		
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		/			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		V			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course			/		

Any other suggestion:	Modele - 3	isver	vast	No. 9	lectures
are less alle	cate3.	,		C	



Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar Navi Mucibai 416-210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Prof. Gayam	Dharap	Department	CSE-DS	
Course Name:	Python F	rogrammi	Ourse code:	CSL405	
	2023-24	9	SEM: 1V		

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		51	Rating		
			4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	/				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		/			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced			/		
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			/		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		/			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		V			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	/				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		/			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	/				

Any other suggestion:	
CF 10 0	

Signature of Faculty wal



Learn Live Achieve and Contribute | Kharehar Naw Mumbar 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Pintu Shalme	Department: CSE-DS
	Honolus - Digital Folenhic	Course code: HCSC 601
		SEM: VI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3. Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

(6.

Sr. No.	Particular's		- 1	₹ating				
		5	4	3	2	- 1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.							
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	V						
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		<i>(</i> 3)					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		V					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		V					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		V					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		V					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		~					

Any other suggestion: _	-	
Darve		
Signature of Faculty:		

SARASY ATT Callege in angineering

Learn Live Achieve and Continuite

Kharebar New Mumbar 416 716

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Vandang & hasing	Department CSE-DS
Course Name (DAV) CSC 6.01	Course code: CSC601
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: VI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

(C)

	Particular's			Rating		
Sr. No.		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	5				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	5				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		Ц			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		4			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	5				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	5				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	5				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	5				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	5				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	-	H			

studies/research in the particular course	
Any other suggestion:	
100000	



Learn Live Actieve and Contribute

Kharebar Nice Mumbar, 416 210

NAAC A+ Accredited Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name	Sonali Ram	40190	Department: C3E-D3	
Course Name:	Distributed.	Computing	Course code: CSDLD6012	
Academic Year	2023-24	0	SEM: 5/14h	

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating		
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	_	-			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		~			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	_				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	V				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		/	_ =		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	V				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course					

Any other suggestion:	Ub - Dingseakon.	
AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF	30	

Signature of Faculty:

(5)

CASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute Kharebar Navi Mumbar 410 2:0

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Diksh. A. Bombe	Department: (se - Dg
Course Name: CSS .	Course code CSC 602.
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: √ 1

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating		
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		~			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		~			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	1				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	~	(A)			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		~			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	`	/			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		~			

Any other suggestion: Meed to up date Syllaby

SARASWATT Education Society a

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharetor, Novi Mumbai - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof Savita Kale	Department: D 5
Course Name: SEPM .	Course code CSC 603
Academic Year 2023-24	SEM: VI

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		1	Rating		
5111105		5	4	3	2	1
1.	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	1				
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		/			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students			V		
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		V			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	8	/			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	/				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	/				

Any other suggestion:	Good	



Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharshar Navi Mumbor 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Prof Gaya	iti Dharaf	Department	CSE-DS
Course Name:	Machine	Learning.	Course code;	CSC 604
Academic Year	2023-24		SEM: VI	

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating		
			4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		/			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		/			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.			V		
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced			/		
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			/		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		~			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	V				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		/			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		/			

Any other suggestion: _			
**			

Signature of Faculty:

()

RASVATI College of Engin 1909

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharekar NaveMurshar 410.710.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Vand and Sharing	Department (SE-DS
Course Name (AAI) CSC804	Course code CS C801
	SEM: VIII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

- (C)

	ain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree) Particular's			Rating		
Sr. No.		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	5				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		4			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	5				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		Н			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	5				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	5				_
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	5				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	5	1			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		4			

Any other suggestion:	_
110000	

SARASWATT Education Society's

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharetsar New Mumbo 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

e No Name C	Pinta Shalims -	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name:	- ACO/- 10-4	Securio Course code: HCSC801
Academic Year		SEM: VIII
A CHICICIAN .		Calast sour

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

(C)

- Uncert	ain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree) Particular's			Rating		
Sr. No.	Particular s	5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	V				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to	V				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have	~				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		V			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		V			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~			-
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	V		- 12		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	V				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	/				

Any other suggestion:	
711) CILITY CO.	
the line	

SARASVAT Education Society 5

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Anhieve and Contribute

Kharelser New Mumbai -410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Jayshu Bank	Department: CS & - D/
Course Name:	CNE-DI. SMA	Course code CSDLO 8023
Academic Year:	2028-24	SEM: VII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3. Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree

Sr. No.	ain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree) Particular's	Rating						
Sr. 140.		5	4	3	2	1		
1.	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		4					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		9					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		4					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	5						
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		4					
.6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	5		5				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.			3				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		4					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	5						
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		4					

Any other suggestion:	lab	Practiced they show	9
		to perform.	

SARASWATI Education Spcinty's

ARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, Navi Mumbai 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PART OF THE	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name	Al for financial Banking	Course code: CSDO8011
Academic Year	2012-94 application	SEM: VIII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

Uncertain 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

-	ain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree) Particular's		-	Rating		
Sr. No.	Tarticular 5	5	4	3	2	I
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		/			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.			~	*	
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		-			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		~			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		~			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	~				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		V			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.			/		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		/			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course					

Any other suggestion:	Reference and text books are really indepstand the concept. Tour AIFBA	à
Signature of Faculty:		reg

R = WATI C Hege of Engineering

Learn Live Ashinve and Contribute

Kharelor 1 Lordondor 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name	Prof Tarannum Shaikh	Department: D f .
	ILOC-TI (PM)	Course code: ILO 8021
	2013-24	SEM: VIII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

- (0.

	ain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree) Particular's			Rating		
Sr. No.	1 Al (Calaire)	5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		~			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		~			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	V				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		/			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		V			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	V				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	/		-		
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	1				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	1				

Any other suggestion:	None.	

Signature of Faculty:

(Sharch - Tarancum)

SARASWATT Education Society's

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Athleve and Contribute

Kharehar Navi Mumbai - 410 210

Department Department & Cal Engs Course code: ILO8029

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Dr. Breeder Leterlin

Course Name Low novemental Management

OF C.

Faculty Name:

Academic	Year 2024 SI	M:	VIII				
ranking o	re your valuable feedback on curriculum to impro in the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following para ain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)	ve qu	ality of	the cour	se. Sel gree, 4	ect you - Agree	
Sr. No.	Particular's			- 1	Rating	2	
			- 5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap bet industry standards /current global scenarios academics.	ween and		/			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and cle teachers and students.	ear to	/				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to significant learning outcomes.	have	~	3			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are pro- sequenced	perly					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the c is as per understanding level of all (slow advance) learners.	ourse and	/				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to de experimental, design, problem solving and and skills of the students	velop ılysis	1000				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory Lab.	y and	-				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on course taken care in the course.	s are	/				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materia relevant and updated.	ls are	1				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher		1				

Any other suggestion:	N.J.	
900 1 25000 400		
Signature of Faculty:	Down_	

studies/research in the particular course

(Dr. Breeder Lebolin)

ASVV IT College of Linguis Fing

Learn Live Autieve and Contribute

Kharehar Nasa Mumbin, 410 Jun.

NAAC A+ Accredited Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name Naibhav Dhage	Department C3E DS
Course Name: DSGT	Course code: CSC-302
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: III rd sem

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree.

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

0

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating		
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~	-			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	_				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		-			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		~			
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		-			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		51			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	~				

Any other suggestion:	
Signature of Faculty:	



ATT College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute Kharebor Novo Mumbar 410.2:0

NAAC A+ Accredited Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name:	Diksha. A. Bomba	Department: CS€ ~ DS
Course Name:	Data Structure	Course code: CSC303
Academic Year	2023-24	SEM: ODD Sem.

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree.

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

(E.

Sr. No.	Particular's		R		Rating			
		5	4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	V						
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	V						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	\sim						
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	~						
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	~						
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		~					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		~					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		/					

Any other suggestion:	No	Suga	restim
<u> </u>		d.	

Signature of Faculty:

Borte

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn have Achieve and Contrabute Kitcheston Nava Ministral - 410-210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name Lela Mahajan	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: DLCA	Course code: CSC 304
Academic Year: 23-24	SEM: TIT (Odd Sem)

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating			Rating		
		5	4	3	2	1		
J,	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	V						
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	V						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	V						
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	V						
5.	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		/					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students							
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	V		4				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	~						
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	~						
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		/					

bry vast syllabus for this subject. Any other suggestion:

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar May Mumba 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited Teacher Feedback on Curriculum


Faculty Name:	Prof.	Gay	atri	Dharap	Department:	CSE-DS
Course Name:	comp	uter	Gra	phies	Course code:	CSC305
Academic Year.	23-	24			SEM: TIL	

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating		
		5	4	3	2	1
'	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		/			ĺ
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	~				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		/			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	1				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	1				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	/				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	/				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	4				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course			/		

Any other suggestion:		
Cinatura of Familia	0-0-	

Signature



Ti College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharakar Nao Mombar 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Frof. Komal Shauma	Department CGF D G
Course Name: OOPM	Department CSE-DS
Academic Year: 2023-2024	Course code: CSL304
2023-2014	SEM: 7//

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5. Strongly agree, 4. Agree, 3. Uncertain, 2. Disagree 1. Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		ı	Rating		
	0.11.1	5	4	3	2	1
, J	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	/	8-11			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	V				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	1	~			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	~				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	~				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		V			
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	6				

Any other suggestion:	

Signature of Faculty:

Thanmu

TI College of Engineering



bearn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar Nass Mumhar 410-210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Umesh Pinjarkar	Department: CSE DS
Course Name: CN	Course code: (5 C 5 C)
Academic Year: 2023-2024	SEM: \/

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating		
		5	4	3	2	-1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	<u></u>				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	V				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	6	·V			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	~				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	~				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	0				
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	~				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	سا				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	V				

Any other suggestion:	
Signature of Faculty:	

FAMATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharebar Navi Mumbar 410 2 0

NAAC A+ Accredited Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

(8)


Faculty Name: Ragini Shaima	Department: CSECDS)
Course Name: Web Computing	Course code: CSC502
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: 又

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No. Particular's Rating 4 3 2 Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students. The depth of the course content is adequate to have 3 significant learning outcomes. 4 The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course 5 is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners. practical's/Tutorials enable experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students The curriculum has good balance between theory and 7 Lab. The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course. The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are 9 relevant and updated. Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher 10 studies/research in the particular course

Any other suggestion:			
Signature of Faculty	" Oct /		





NAAC A+ Accredited Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Diksha. A. Bombe Department: CSE-DS Course Name: Arkfloigal Intelligent Course code: CSC503 Academic Year: 2023-24 SEM: ODD Sem

Flease give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		- 1	Rating				
		5	4	3	2	1		
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards (current global scenarios and academics.		~					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		~					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		~					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		~					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		~					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		~					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		~					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		~					
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		1					

Any other suggestion:	No	Suggestion.
		00

Signature of Faculty:

0

Bontie



Searn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharohai Navi Mumbai 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

	Jayshi Bankar	Department: CS & DS
Course Name:	Data Warehousing & Mining	Course code: CS€ 5 ∘ 4
Academic Year:		SEM: ¥

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree.

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

0

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating		
	0 - 700 000 (VA) 0 HI	5	4	3	2	- 1
I	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academies.		V			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.		V			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.			V		
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		V			
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	V				
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		V			
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		V			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		~			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	V				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	V				

Any other suggestion:		
Signature of Faculty:	0	
~	Bankouz.	

SARASWATI Education Society's

SARASWATI College of Engineering T

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Charylan New Mumber 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name Abhishek Chauhan	Department: 05
Course Name: SAIDS	Course code: C5 DL05011
Academic Year: 2023 - 2024	SEM: ¥

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters. (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating		
		5	4	3	2	1
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.		V			
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	V				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		V			
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced				V	
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.			V		
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students			/		
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		/			
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		/			
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		1020			1
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course		V			

Any other suggestion: Syllabus should be rechecked, hooks prescribed



R VATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute Kharsho Navi Mumbar 410.210

NAAC A+ Accredited Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name Prof. Vaibhay ahage	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: DL	Course code: CSC701
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: VII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree.

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's			Rating	ng		
		5	4	3	2	1	
ı	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards current global scenarios and academics.	V	-				
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/	+				
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced						
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.						
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students						
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.						
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	/	1				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.			-		T	
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	V					

Any other suggestion:		

Signature of Faculty:

VER

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharehar, New Murobac - 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Prof. Jayshree Banke	Department: CSE-DS
Course Name: BDA	Course code: CSTO 2
Academic Year: 2023-24	SEM: VII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's	Rating					
		5	4	3	2	- 1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	/					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.						
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.						
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced						
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.						
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students		-				
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.						
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.		/				
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	/	•				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	/					

Any	other suggestion:			

Signature of Faculty: Bonk as

SYMUNSYMAT' Education Society's

SARASWATI College of Engineering

Searn Live Achieve and Contribute | | Khardur New Mumber 410 210

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Vandana sharing	Department: CSE-DS
	Course code: CSC7011
Academic Year: 2023 - 24	SEM: VII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating						
		5	4	3	2	1			
3	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	/							
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	/							
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/							
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced		/						
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.		/						
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students								
7.	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	~							
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	/							
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		/						
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course								

Any other suggestion.		
Signature of Faculty:	Λ.	

Thorma

WATI College of Leginerring



Kharebar Navi Micriba 410 710

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

Faculty Name: Vined Zala	Department CSE-DS
Course Name: CSL	Course code: ZLO 7016
Academic Year: 2023 - 24	SEM. VII (Odd Sem)

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters.(5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree,

3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

(6)

Sr. No. Particular's	Rating						
			4	3	2	1	
1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	~					
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	V					
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	V					
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	~					
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	レ					
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	1					
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	~					
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		V				
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	-					

Any other suggestion:	-		
A AB 5-			

E/RASWATL Education Society's

SARASWATI College of Engineering

| Learn Live Achieve and Contribute |

Klasewine Mine Minsbal - 410 210.

NAAC A+ Accredited

Teacher Feedback on Curriculum

	Prof. Komal		Department CSE_DS
Course Name:	Blockchain	Technologies	Course code: CSD07022
Academic Year:	2028-20	24	SEM: SEM VII

Please give your valuable feedback on curriculum to improve quality of the course. Select your ranking on the scale of 1 to 5 for each of the following parameters (5- Strongly agree, 4- Agree, 3- Uncertain, 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree)

Sr. No.	Particular's		Rating						
		5	4	3	2	1			
î	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academies.		~						
2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	~							
3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	/							
4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced								
5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	~							
6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students								
7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.		V						
8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	-							
9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.		~						
10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	-							

Any other suggestion: There is a need four mare books on advanced Subjects that are easy to comprehend.

Signature of Faculty:

Bhanne