


Department of Computer Engineering

Academic \	Year:	2019-	-2020
------------	-------	-------	-------

	SEM:HI			Class: S.E				1
	Teacher Feed	back on	Curriculum					
	No of Respons	es = 05						
		Q	Prof. Ashima	Prof.Rina B	Prof. Sunita P	Prof.Sushant	K Prof. Monali D	Feedback
Q1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	1	4	4	4	4	4	80
Q2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	2	3	3	:4	4	3	68
Q3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		4	4	3	4	4	76
Q4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	4	4	3	3	4	3	68
Q5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	5	4	4	4	3	4	76
Q6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	6	3	3	4	3	3	64
Q7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	7	4	4	3	4	4	76
Q8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	8	4	3	3	4	4	72
Q9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	9	3	4	4	3	4	72
Q10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	10	3	4	3	4	4	72



HOD

Head of Department Computer Engly.



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Department: Computer Engineering Action Taken Report

Action taken report on Feedback received from the Students in Academic Year 2019-2020.

SEM: III

Year: SE

Sr. No	Program	Course	Feedback received	Action Taken with supporting document						
1	Computer Engineerin g	Data Structure	Most of the students are satisfied about the course content goes well with the CO'S.	Students encouraged for NPTEL,Poster presentation 1. https://nptel.ac.in/courses/1061020 64 2. https://nptel.ac.in/courses/1061050 85						
2	Computer Engineerin g	Digital Logic and Computer Architectur e	Most of the students are satisfied about the course content goes well with the CO'S. Students asked for more value-added material	Faculty provided some value-added material 1. https://www.youtube.com/playlist? list=PLir19lgiavA0EKRRN3xdARy						

HOD

Head of Department Computer Engg. Principal




SARASWATI Education Society's SARASWATI College of Engineering

| Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Department of Computer Engineering

Academic Year:2019-2020

	SEM:IV			Class: S.	E				
	Teacher Feedbac	k on Cur	riculum			*			
	No of Responses = 06								
		Q	Sujata B.	Arti G	Sonali S	Ashima G	DP	Rina B	Feedback
Q1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	1	4	4	4	5	3	5	88
Q2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	2	4	4	4	5	4	5	88
Q3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.		4	4	5	4	4	4	84
Q4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	88
Q5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	88
Q6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	6	4	4	5	5	4	4	88
Q7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	7	4	4	5	5	5	5	92
Q8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	8	5	5	5	4	4	5	96
Q9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	9	4	4	4	4	5	4	80
Q10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	10	5	4	4	4	4	4	84



HOD

Head of Department Computer Engly. PRINCIPAL



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Department: Computer Engineering Action Taken Report

Action taken report on Feedback received from the Students in Academic Year 2019-2020.

SEM: IV

Year: SE

Sr. No	Program *	Course	Feedback received	Action Taken with supporting document
1	Computer Engineering	Operating System	Most of the students are satisfied about the course content goes well with the CO'S. Students asked formore value-added material	1. Students encouraged for NPTEL, Poster presentation. 1.https://nptel.ac.in/courses/106102132 2. Faculty provided some value-added material 1.https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list =PLmXKhU9FNesSFvj6gASuWmQd23 Ul5omtD 2.https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list =PLBlnK6fEyqRiVhbXDGLXDk_OQAeuVcp2O
2	Computer Engineering	Machine Learning	Students requested for Machine Learning Session	As requested by students Machine Learning Seminar conducted on 17/01/2020

HOD


Head of Department Computer Engg. Principal



SARASWATI Education Society's SARASWATI College of Engineering

Department of Computer Engineering Academic Vear: 2019-2020

	Academic	Year:20	19-2020					
	SEM:V			Class: T.E				
	Teacher Feed	back on	Curriculum					
	No of Respons	es = 05						
		Q	Prof. Arti	Prof.Rina B	Prof. Hemlata	Prof.Suhasini.	Prof. Sushant	Feedback
Q1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	1	4	4	3	4	4	76
Q2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	2	3	3	: 4	3	4	68
Q3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	3	4	4	3	4	4	76
Q4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	4	3	3	4	3	3	64
Q5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	5	4	4	3	4	3	72
Q6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	6	3	3	4	3	4	68
Q7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	7	4	4	3	4	4	76
Q8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	8	3	3	3	3	4	64
Q9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	9	4	4	3	4	3	72
Q10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	10	4	4	3	4	3	72



Hop Head of Department Computer Engg.

PRINCIPAL



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Department: Computer Engineering

Action Taken Report

Action taken report on Feedback received from the Students in Academic Year 2019-2020.

SEM: V

Year: TE

Sr. No	Program	Course	Feedback received	Action Taken with supporting document				
1	Computer Engineering	Computer Network	Most of the students are satisfied about thecourse content goes well with the CO'S. Students requested additional video information	1. Students encouraged for NPTEL, Poster presentation 1.https://nptel.ac.in/courses/106105 081 2. Faculty provided videos related to subject 1.https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bxS_G4nZPYo&t=1279s				

HOD

Head of Department Computer Engg. Principal



The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills

SARASWATI Education Society's SARASWATI College of Engineering

Kharghar, Navi Physical 410 210.

Department of Computer Engineering Academic Year:2019-2020

SEM:VI Class: T.E Teacher Feedback on Curriculum No of Responses = 05 Prof. Sushant Prof.Bhagyashree SProf. Sujata B Prof.Suhasini Prof. Monali D Feedback Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students. The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes. The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and

Syllabus creates interest to pursue high	er studies/res	search in th	e particula	r course		10)	3	4		4
	78										
	76	5.5									
	74							Λ			
	72					1000				-	
5	70										
% Feedback	68					-			- 6		
9	66				-		-		-	- 65	
%	64			100							
	62				-51	-8	74	-88	-10-		
	60				-8	- 819		-8		-, -	-8-
	58								1000		
		1	2	3	Ques	5 stion	No	7	8	9	10

Head of Department Computer Engg.

Q1

Q2

Q3

Q4

Q5

Q6

Q7

Q9

of the students

The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.

The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.

The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.

PRINCIPAL



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Department: Computer Engineering

Action Taken Report

Action taken report on Feedback received from the Students in Academic Year 2019-2020.

SEM: VI

Year: TE

Sr. No.	Program	Course	Feedback received	Action Taken with supporting document
1	Computer Engineering	Software Engineering	Most of the students are satisfied about the course content goes well with the CO'S. Students asked for more value-added material	2.Faculty provided some value-added material
2	Computer Engineering	Machine Learning	Students requested Session on Machine Learning	As requested by students Machine Learning Seminar conducted on 03/03/2020

HOD

Head of Department Computer Engg. Principal




SARASWATI Education Society's SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Department of Computer Engineering

Academic Year:2019-2020

	SEM:VII			Class: B.E				_
	Teacher Fee	dback on	Curriculum					
	No of Respor	ises = 05						
		Q	Prof. Monali	Prof.Bhagyashree	Prof. Hemlata.	Prof.Sanjay	Prof. Ashima	Feedbac
Q1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	1	4	3	3	2	3	60
Q2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	2	4	3	3	3	3	64
Q3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	3	3	3	3	2	3	56
Q4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	4	3	2	3	3	2	52
Q5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	5	3	2	2	2	3	48
Q6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	6	4	3	2	3	3	60
Q7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	7	4	3	3	2	3	60
Q8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	8	4	2	3	2	4	60
Q9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	9	3	2	2	2	4	52
Q10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	10	3	3	2	2	2	48



HOD

Head of Department Computer Engg. PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Department: Computer Engineering

Action Taken Report

Action taken report on Feedback received from the Students in Academic Year 2019-2020.

SEM: VII

Year: BE

Sr. No	Program	Course	Feedback received	Action Taken with supporting document
1	Computer Engineerin g	Artificial Intelligenc e and Soft Computing	Most of the students are satisfied about the course content goes well with the CO'S. Students requested additional video information	1. Students encouraged for NPTEL, Poster presentation 1 https://nptel.ac.in/courses/106105173 2. Faculty provided videos related to subject 1. https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLauEzbMjFd4Bx9UOEbWiLyzUAPvz17zzh 2. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JMUxmLyrhSk

HOD

Head of Department Computer Engg.

Principal PRINCIPAL




ASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Department of Computer Engineering Academic Year:2019-2020

	- Trout de la company de la co		r> momo					
	SEM:VIII			Class: B.E			1	
	Teacher Feed	lback on	Curriculum					1
	No of Respons	es = 05						
		Q	Prof. Arti	Prof.Rina B	Prof. Sunita P	Prof.Suhasin	i Prof. Monali D	Feedbac
Q1	Syllabus is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics.	1	4	4	4	4	4	80
Q2	Objectives of the syllabi are well defined and clear to teachers and students.	2	4	4	4	4	3	76
Q3	The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.	3	4	4	3	3	4	72
Q4	The Modules/section in the syllabus are properly sequenced	4	3	3	3	3	3	60
Q5	Difficulty level of the syllabus contents of the course is as per understanding level of all (slow and advance) learners.	5	3	3	4	4	4	72
Q6	The practical's/Tutorials enable to develop experimental, design, problem solving and analysis skills of the students	6	3	3	4	3	3	64
Q7	The curriculum has good balance between theory and Lab.	7	4	4	3	4	4	76
Q8	The pre-requisite courses and follow-on courses are taken care in the course.	8	3	3	3	3	4	64
Q9	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant and updated.	9	4	4	4	4	3	76
Q10	Syllabus creates interest to pursue higher studies/research in the particular course	10	3	3	3	3	3	60



HOD
Head of Department
Computer Engg.

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

Department: Computer Engineering

Action Taken Report

Action taken report on Feedback received from the Students in Academic Year 2019-2020.

SEM: VIII

Year: BE

Sr.	Program	Course	Feedback	Action Taken with supporting document					
1 1	Computer Engineering	Human Machine Interaction	received Most of the students are satisfied about the course content goes well with the CO'S. Students asked for more value-added material	1. Students encouraged for NPTEL, Poster presentation 1. https://nptel.ac.in/courses/106106177 2. Faculty provided some value-added material 1. https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLLssT5z_DsK_nusHL_Mjt87THSTlgrsyJ 2. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=C_AsBA0oHlE					
2	Computer Engineering	Natural Language Processing	Students requested Workshop on NLP	As requested by students NLP Workshop conducted on 12/02/2020					

HOD

Head of Department Computer Engg.

Principal



SARASWATI College of Engineering

Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Action taken based on feedback from students Academic year 2019-20

Summary of the feedback received from faculty members on the syllabus

Based on the feedback collected from the faculty members on the syllabus following observations were found.

- Students encouraged for NPTEL, Poster presentation
- Faculty provided some value-added material
- As requested by students Machine Learning Seminar was conducted
- Faculty provided videos related to subject
- As requested by students NLP Workshop was conducted

HOD

Head of Department Computer Engg. Principal



Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbai - 410 210.

DATE: - 22/10/2019

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT (Academic Year 2019-20)

SR NO.	NAME	F1(TH)	F1(TH)	F2(TH)	F2(TH)	F2(PR)	F2(PR)	TOTAL	X	Y=X-4.05	Z=Y*Y
1	Suhasini Parvatikar	4.61	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.56		23.27	4.654	0.604	0.364816
2	Deepa Parasar	4.77	4.48					9.25	4.625	0.575	0.330625
3	Arti K. Gore	3.51	3.99	4.02	4.17	4.12		19.81	3.962	-0.088	0.007744
4	Sujata Bhairanallykar	4.1	4.21	4.3		3.67		16.28	4.07	0.02	0.0004
5	Monali Deshmukh	2.36		3.67		3.62		9.65	3.2167	-0.83333	0.69444444
6	Rina Bora	3.63	3.73	3.87	3.96	3.89		19.08	3.816	-0.234	0.054756
7	Hemalata Gosavi		4.6	3.66	4.4	3.6		16.26	4.065	0.015	0.000225
8	Amit Gawade	4.11	4.3					8.41	4.205	0.155	0.024025
9	Sushant Kamble	3.83	4.23	3.97	4.8	3.84		20.67	4.134	0.084	0.007056
10	Bhagyashree Sonawale	3.37	4.5	3.9	4.8	3.69	5	25.26	4.21	0.16	0.0256
11	Ashima Goyal			3.5	4.3	3.67	4.3	15.77	3.9425	-0.1075	0.01155625
12	Arpita Saxena					3.95	4.25	8.2	4.1	0.05	0.0025
13	Dr. Sanjay Sange			3.56	3.57	3.39		10.52	3.5067	-0.54333	0.295211111
14	Sarita Kale	3.83		3.74		3.74		11.31	3.77	-0.28	0.0784
15	Seema Singh	4.51		4.64				9.15	4.575	0.525	0.275625
	Deepti Pandey	4.25		4.38				8.63	4.315	0.265	0.070225
	Total (∑Z)										2.24320881

Mean Feedback =4.05

 $SD = \sqrt{(\sum Z/n)} = \sqrt{2.243209/16}$

 $SD = \sqrt{0.1402}$

SD = 0.3744

HOD.

TOTAL AVG
F1(TH) 85.62 4.07714
F2(TH) 86.61 4.12429
F2(PR) 59.29 3.95267
TOTAL 12.1541
Average Feedback of Dept. 4.05

= 0

Academic Dean

Principal

Academic Coordinator





Learn Live Achieve and Contribute

Kharghar, Navi Mumbal - 410 210.

DATE:- 22/4/2020

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-20(EVEN SEM) DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

SR NO.	NAME	F1(TH)	F1(TH)	F2(TH)	F2(TH)	F2(PR)	F2(PR)	TOTAL	X	Y=X-4.15	Z=Y*Y
1	Prof. Sujata Bhairnallykar	3.99	4.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.14	4.07	-0.08	0.01
2	Prof. Suhasini Parvatikar	4.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.39	4.39	0.24	0.06
3	Prof. Arti K. Gore	4.09	3.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.81	3.91	-0.25	0.06
4	Prof. Bhagyashree Sonawale	3.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.80	3.80	-0.35	0.12
5	Prof. Monali Deshmukh	4.47	4.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.94	4.47	0.32	0.10
6	Prof. Rina Bora	3.97	4.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.06	4.03	-0.12	0.01
7	Prof. Sushant Kamble	4.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.31	4.31	0.16	0.03
8	Prof. Ashima Goyal	4.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.09	_4.09	-0.06	0.00
9	Prof. Sonali Shukla	4.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.24	4.24	0.09	0.01
10	Prof. Deepti Pandey	4.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.48	4.48	0.33	0.11
$\overline{}$	Prof. Sunita Pal	4.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.24	4.24	0.09	0.01
Total (∑Z)								0.52			

 $SD = \sqrt{\sum Z/n} = \sqrt{.52/11}$

 $SD = \sqrt{.0472}$

SD = 0.217

Academic Coordinator

HOD.

Academic Dean

Principal